1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

VS.

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

2728

Order of Service; Directing Ds to file Disp. Motion 04280Gay_dwlta.wpd

FILED

DEC 1 2 2012

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH EARL GAY,) No. C 12-04280 EJD (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO AMEND

EDMUND BROWN, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner at San Quentin State Prison, filed the instant civil rights action in <u>pro se</u> pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed <u>in</u> <u>forma pauperis</u> will be granted in a separate written order.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. § 1915A(b)(1),(2). Pro se pleadings must, however, be

liberally construed. See Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. Plaintiff's Claims

Plaintiff names as defendants all justices on the California Supreme Court, several former governors and various legal associations. Plaintiff states that the appellate process for death penalty cases in California is broken as it takes far too long for a decision and to appoint counsel, the decisions are political and former Chief Justice Rose Bird was removed for political reasons because she opposed the death penalty. These allegations fail to set forth a cognizable claim.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows:

1. The complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend. Within twenty-eight (28) days of the date this order is filed, Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint using the court's form complaint. The amended complaint must include the caption and civil case number used in this order and the words "AMENDED COMPLAINT" on the first page and write in the case number for this action, Case No. C 12-04280 EJD (PR). Plaintiff must answer all the questions on the form in order for the action to proceed.

Failure to respond in accordance with this order by filing an amended complaint will result in the dismissal of this action without prejudice and without further notice to Plaintiff.

The Clerk shall include two co	opies of the court's complaint with a copy of this
order to Plaintiff.	FEDO ()
DATED: 12/11/12	FDWARD I DAVILA

United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH EARL GAY,		Case Number CV 12-04280 EJD (PR)	
v.	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
EDMUND I	BROWN, et al.,		
	Defendants.	/	
	igned, hereby certify thern District of Califor	hat I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. Distric rnia.	
person(s)her	reinafter listed, by dep	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the in a postage paid envelope addressed to the ositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said	
Kenneth Ea D-15601 San Quentin	rl Gay State Prison	eptacle located in the Clerk's office.	
San Quentin,	, CA 949/4		
DATED:	12/12/2012	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk	